UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,585	10/31/2002	Lung-Sheng Lee	FTCP0013USA	9765
27765 NORTH AME	7590 05/29/2007 ERICA INTELLECTUAL P	ROPERTY CORPORATION	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 506			O CONNOR, BRIAN T	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

			C_{1}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,585	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Brian T. O'Connor	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u>oril 2007</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	٠
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/065,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 04/18/2007.

- 2. Claims 6 and 10 have been amended. Claims 1-13 are currently pending.
- 3. Due to Applicant's amendment to claim 6 the objection to claim 6 is withdrawn.

 Due to Applicant's amendment to claim 10 the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection of claim 10 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishifuji et al. (US 6,061,139; hereafter Ishifuji) in view of Gerten et al. (U.S. 6,760,319; hereafter Gerten).

With respect to claim 1, Ishifuji discloses a radio device (500 of figure 5) that changes frequencies according to a pattern or look-up table (521 of figure 5) at regular time slots (Hopped frequency of Mobile Station in figure 11). The radio device comprises a receive-state register set (530 of figure 5; column 10, line 66—column 11, line 12; where this operational state is viewed as a standby link state);

a transmit-state register set (521 of figure 5; column 10, lines 58-6; where this operational state is viewed as a connection link state);

a switch or multiplexer (532 of figure 5) that connects the output of the two register sets or tables for selection of either table;

Art Unit: 2616

a link state controller (531 of figure 5; column 10, line 66—column 11, line 3) to selection which table is connected to the synthesizer (111 of figure 5);

a synthesizer (111 of figure 5) which must have a working register set to receive the selected frequency channel parameters from the switch; and

a synthesizer (111 of figure 5) or frequency channel controller to control the oscillators (103, 107 of figure 5) according to the selected frequency channel parameters.

Ishifuji discloses that the frequency hopping pattern tables (521, 531 of figure 5) are stored with frequency control words (221 of figure 7B; column 9, line 66—column 10, line 13) that must be controlled with a periodic timer to read out the entire list of frequencies.

However, Ishifuji fails to explicitly disclose that the link state controller switches the multiplexer according to the link state of the radio device for the next timeslot so that certain frequency parameters are loaded into the working register set.

Gerten, in an invention related to Bluetooth radio systems, discloses a frequency selection and programming technique where the radio receives pauses for a time period and measures the link state to check for frequency interference (column 6, lines 25-32; 120, 130 of Figure 3). Next the link state controller or central control system (30 of Figure 2) will reconfigure the frequency selection registers (330, 340 of Figure 5) to remove any detected frequency interference from the transmission sequence (550, 560 of Figure 9; column 7, lines 7-20).

Art Unit: 2616

Gerten realizes the advantage of reduced interference in a Bluetooth system (column 7, lines 21-25) by stopping for a time slot to measure interference and then reconfiguring the transmitting frequencies for the next time slot. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the technique of Gerten with the radio device (Bluetooth system) of Ishiuji.

With respect to claim 3, Ishifuji further discloses that the frequency control parameters are loaded into the synthesizer ahead of the next time slot as set by the periodic timer (column 14, lines 13-22).

With respect to claim 4, Ishifuji further discloses an RF device (107, 117, 152, 118, 117, 101 of figure 5) connected to the synthesizer to transmit and receiver radio signals according to the synthesizer.

With respect to claim 7, Ishifuji further discloses a different set of frequencies for each state (226 of figure 7A; 221 of figure 7B).

With respect to claim 8, Ishifuji further discloses a base station (402 of figure 1) or second radio device which sets the frequency hopping pattern by transmitting a base station ID (743 of figure 6) in a control packet. The base station also controls the transmit operational state of the mobile station with a right designation code (704 of figure 6) in the control packet.

With respect to claim 10, Ishifuji further discloses m sets of link states as hopping pattern IDs (221 of figure 7B) which are connect to m sets of frequency sequences (HFP1, HFP2 of figure 7B) used to control frequency transmit parameters in the transmit-state of the mobile station.

Art Unit: 2616

With respect to claim 11, Ishifuji discloses a method for controlling the operational state of a mobile station comprising the steps of:

storing receiving (standby) frequency parameters (226 of figure 7A) in a register set (530 of figure 5);

storing transmitting (connection) frequency parameters (221 of figure 7B) in a register set (521 of figure 5);

selecting the transmitting frequency parameters during the transmission state (column 14, lines 13-22);

selecting the receiving frequency parameters during the reception state (column 10, line 66—column 11, line 12); and

inputting the selected frequency channel parameters into a synthesizer (111 of figure 5) before the start of the next time slot for controlling the mobile station (column 10, line 66—column 11, line 3).

However, Ishifuji fails to explicitly disclose steps where the link state controller switches the multiplexer according to the link state of the radio device for the next timeslot so that certain frequency parameters are loaded into the working register set.

Gerten, in an invention related to Bluetooth radio systems, discloses a frequency selection and programming technique where the radio receives pauses for a time period and measures the link state to check for frequency interference (column 6, lines 25-32; 120, 130 of Figure 3). Next the link state controller or central control system (30 of Figure 2) will reconfigure the frequency selection registers (330, 340 of Figure 5) to

remove any detected frequency interference from the transmission sequence (550, 560 of Figure 9; column 7, lines 7-20).

Gerten realizes the advantage of reduced interference in a Bluetooth system (column 7, lines 21-25) by stopping for a time slot to measure interference and then reconfiguring the transmitting frequencies for the next time slot. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the technique of Gerten with the radio method (Bluetooth system method) of Ishiuji.

With respect to claim 12, Ishifuji further discloses a periodic timer or timer counter to synchronize all the steps (column 13, line 55—column 14, line 10).

6. Claims 2, 5, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishifuji in view of Gerten and further in view of Belanger et al. (U.S. 5,729,680 hereafter Belanger).

With respect to claims 2 and 13, Ishifuji and Gerten disclose all the subject matter of claims 1 and 11, but Ishifuji does not disclose a software interrupt service routine (ISR) used to determine the operational state of the mobile station from one time slot to the next.

Belanger discloses a software ISR used to determine the operational state of the mobile station from one time slot to the next (column 9, line 63—column 10, line 11; where the MEDIAISR.ASM controls the transmission and reception of frame and thereby must control the operational state of the mobile unit).

Belanger realizes the benefit of greater design flexibility and customization by using software to control hardware elements in a mobile unit. Thus it would have been

Art Unit: 2616

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the ISR of Belanger with the system of Ishifuji.

With respect to claim 5, Ishifuji and Gerten disclose all the subject matter of claim 4, but Ishifuji does not disclose delaying the switching operation by the RF settling time of the RF device.

Belanger discloses delaying the switching operation by the RF settling time of the RF device (column 38, line 38—column 39, line 18).

Belanger realizes the benefit of more stable RF transmission and reduced error by waiting for the RF devices to settle before sending new commands to them. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the extra delay time of Belanger with the system of Ishifuji.

With respect to claim 9, Ishifuji and Gerten disclose all the subject matter of claim 1, but Ishifuji does not disclose that when in a transmission state the periodic timer is created by and received from a second radio device.

Belanger discloses that when in a transmission state the periodic timer is created by and received from a second radio device (column 23, lines 19-30; where the HOP TICK field is send from an access point unit or second radio device and is used to change frequencies in the hopping pattern).

Belanger realizes the benefit of faster synchronization between mobile units and access point units by using a HOP TICK field. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the HOP TICK field of Belanger with the system of Ishifuji.

Art Unit: 2616

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishifuji in view of Gerten and further in view of Orava (U.S. 6,829,288).

With respect to claim 6, Ishifuji and Gerten disclose all the subject matter of claim 4, but Ishifuji does not disclose designed the mobile station according to the Bluetooth system standard.

Orava discloses conforming the design of wireless devices to Bluetooth technology standards (column 2, lines 4-19).

Orava realizes the benefit of enhanced transmission security by complying with the Bluetooth standard (column 1, lines 42-67). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the Bluetooth standard as taught by Orava with the system of Ishifuji.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments (see pg 6-7 of remarks filed 04/18/2007) with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 11 under Ishifuji have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ishifuji and Gerten.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. O'Connor whose telephone number is 571-270-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-6:30PM, M-F, 1st Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,585 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian T. O'Connor May 22, 2007

HASSAN KIZOU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600